

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/605,768	10/24/2003	Ronald J. Ranelli	202-0553	2767		
28549 75	590 08/29/2006		EXAMINER			
ARTZ & ART		NGUYEN, CUONG H				
28333 TELEGI SOUTHFIELD	RAPH ROAD, SUITE 250 , MI 48034	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER			
	•		3661			
			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2000	DATE MAILED: 08/29/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.		Applicant(s)					
		10/605,768		RANELLI, RONALD J.					
			Examiner		Art Unit				
			CUONG H. N	GUYEN	3661				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun r Reply	ication appe	ears on the co	ver sheet with the o	correspondence ad	idress			
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comm period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ALLING DA of 37 CFR 1.136 nunication. atutory period will will, by statute, of	TE OF THIS 6(a). In no event, Il apply and will ex cause the applicat	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tire pire SIX (6) MONTHS from ion to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this centre (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status									
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 24 Oc	tober 2003.	, e ***	•				
2a)□			action is non-	-final.					
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is								
.—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.								
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are rejected.								
7)									
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.								
Applicat	ion Papers								
9)[]	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
-	10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>24 October 2003</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.									
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119								
**	Acknowledgment is made of a claim ☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:	for foreign p	priority unde	· 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.								
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
	3. Copies of the certified copies	-	-		ed in this National	l Stage			
	application from the Internation		•	• • • •					
* (See the attached detailed Office action	on for a list o	of the certified	d copies not receive	ed.				
Attachmen				—					
	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I	PTO-948)	4)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🛛 Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or er No(s)/Mail Date 10/30/03.			ormal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This Office Action is the answer to the IDS received on 10/30/2003, which papers have been placed of record in the file.
- 2. Claims 1-21 are pending in this application.

Drawings

3. The formal drawings (received on 10/24/2003) are acceptable for examining purposes.

Claim Objection

4. Claim 5 is objected for minor error, it should be "... the plurality of vehicle inputs include a vehicle speed".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 5. Claims 1-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicants regard as the invention.
- A. Claim 1 is directed to a control system for a vehicle, comprising vague features:
- "a plurality of vehicle inputs" lacks an antecedent basis (what vehicle inputs are claimed?).

- "the vehicle inputs and a desired path" (normally a driver only inputs original points, destination points, and/or POI etc.) the applicant should point out "how" a driver inputs a desired path as claimed;
- B. Claim 16 is directed to a control system for a vehicle, comprising vague features:
 - "a plurality of vehicle inputs" lacks an antecedent basis (what vehicle inputs are claimed?).
 - a database having driving conditions what are "driving condition"? since there are MANY CONDITIONS while driving (e.g., rush hour traffic, raining, snowing, steep mountain driving, flooding roads, thru. Business road (Main Street) .etc, the examiner needs to have a clarification on this feature.
- "the vehicle inputs and the driving conditions and a desired condition"

 (normally a driver only inputs original points, destination points, driving thru. Cities, driving thru. Country roads, and/or POI etc.) the applicant should points out "how" a driver inputs a desired conditions as claimed;
 - C. Claim 21 has a gap because using GPS is essential to the claimed method of controlling an automotive vehicle, without utilizing a GPS, "generating a vehicle positional signal" and "determining a predicted path ..." cannot be done because this is essential to this application.
- 6. Dependent claims 2-15, and 17-20 are rejected on the same rationales because they incorporated deficiencies from their parent claims 1, and 16.

Conclusions

6. The prior art made of record, which are listed in PTO-892, and not relied upon are considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Note: Using a chaos-theory model, or using a linear regression model in comparisons were applied in cited references.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose telephone number is 571-272-6759. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:30 am - 5:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, THOMAS G. BLACK can be reached on 571-272-6956. The Rightfax number for the organization where this application is assigned is 571-273-6759.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Please provide support, with page and line numbers, for any amended or new claim in an effort to help advance prosecution; otherwise any new claim language that is introduced in an amended or new claim may be considered as new matter, especially if the Application is a Jumbo Application.

Lunghnguyen